

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/196,185		11/20/1998	MYUNG-KEO HUR	06192.0052	8847		
22930	7590	02/21/2002					
	SIMON A	ARNOLD & W	EXAMINER				
12// 12:11		IA AVENUE NV	QI, ZHI QIANG				
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20004		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2871	•		
				DATE MAILED: 02/21/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			$\underline{\nu}$			
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/196,185	HUR ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mike Qi	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cancell</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-5,14-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\hfill\Box$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the English translation of the priority Application was not filed, the rejection is proper, and the structure claimed in claims 4 and 14 would have been obvious over prior art of record.

William L. Sikes

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Wallem L Selow